-	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/792,153	ECCLES, ROBERT E.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Phallaka Kik	2825	
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>non-final rejection</u>		
(1) <u>Phallaka Kik</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Keith A. Kanroo (Reg. No. 36,480)</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 4 August 2006	Time: <u>5:30PM</u>		
Type of Interview:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed: 18			
Prior art documents discussed:  None			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
Part III.			
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>			
•			
Phallat that			
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	gnature – if appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In response to the Examiner's indication that claim 18 have 101 problem since "signal bearing medium" is directed to non-patentable category of invention, Applicant's Representative authorizes the Examiner to amend the claim to recite --computer readable medium-- instead, as given in the attached Examiner's Amendment. In addition, the Examiner also inform Applicant's Representative that the hyperlink in the spec needs to be removed and applicant also authorizes such amendment to the specification as given in the attached Examiner's Amendment.